Cyber Defender vs MalwareBytes

This document compares Cyber Defender and MalwareBytes.

Because cyber security packages sometimes differ in scope, we thought it would be most beneficial to compare using 7 key categories:

- Email Protection
- Cloud application protection
- Endpoint protection
- Access control

- Regulatory compliance
- Implementation and Maintenance
- Cost per user

Within each category, we listed the most important features that are required in order to provide adequate protection against the growing threat landscape.

Features

	Malware bytes
	&
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	8
	⊘
	⊘
	⊘
	⊘
	8
	8
	×
	×
	8
	×
	×
	8
	8
9	\$4-\$7 dollars
	9

Implementation and Maintenance

	CYBER DEFENDER	⇔alware bytes
Implementation	Less than 10 min (AI based)	3 hours (manually done)
Daily maintenance	None	30 min.
Daily maintenance Training included	None Yes, for any client	30 min. Additional cost

In conclusion: MalwareBytes is all about endpoints. It's what it does best, and it is the key focus of all of its features. Endpoints are indeed a very important part of the security chain, but it is still, just a part of it. To fully protect a business, of any size, all security factors should be covered, including DLP, Email protection, cloud apps, and more. It's important to understand that MalwareBytes doesn't provide end-to-end security and a company would have to acquire supplementary platforms from other vendors. This will of course, lead to additional costs, and integration and ongoing operational difficulties.

For less than what MalewareBytes costs for only endpoint security, Cyber Defender provides true end to end cybersecurity that covers all security aspects in one solution, that is up and running in minutes, and requires virtually no team supervision.